

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/780,281 02/09/2001		Ikuo Nakamura	112857-200	8042		
29175	7590 03/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			RYMAN, I	RYMAN, DANIEL J		
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2665			
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/780,28	1	NAKAMURA, IKUO			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Daniel J. R		2665			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statution of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ication. lays, a reply within the statu by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ımunication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>09 February 200</u>	' <u>1</u> .				
2a)[	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 20</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	001 is/are: a)⊠ acc on to the drawing(s) b ne correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot <b>(s)</b> See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date <u>7</u> .	D-948) FO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/780,281 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

### DETAILED ACTION

## **Specification**

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because in line 11 "set" should be "sent". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 11, line 25 "(4 and 0 to 9)" should be "(4 and 6 to 9)".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shteyn (USPN 6,199,136) in view of Applicant's admitted prior art in further view of Tobias, II et al. (USPN 5,530,859).
- Regarding claims 1, 11, and 12, Shteyn discloses a controlling apparatus for exchanging an information signal among a plurality of electronic devices through a network system, comprising: a control information obtaining part (device control module) for obtaining control information (self describing data) from the plurality of electronic devices, the control information allowing the plurality of electronic devices to be controlled (col. 3, line 51-col. 4, line 4; col. 4, lines 26-42; and col. 4, line 53-col. 5, line 1).

Art Unit: 2665

Shteyn does not expressly disclose a time setting function determining part for determining whether the plurality electronic devices have a time setting function corresponding to the control information obtained by the control information obtaining part. However, Shteyn does disclose a function determining part (DCM) for determining whether the plurality electronic devices have a function corresponding to the control information obtained by the control information obtaining part (col. 3, line 42-col. 4, line 19; col. 4, lines 26-42; and col. 4, line 53-col. 5, line 1). Applicant teaches as prior art that some devices in HAVi use a time compensating function while others do not (pg. 1, line 14-pg. 2, line 4). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a time setting function determining part for determining whether the plurality electronic devices have a time setting function corresponding to the control information obtained by the control information obtaining part in order for the controller to determine if the device needs to have a clock set.

Shteyn in view of Applicant does not expressly disclose a time information obtaining part for obtaining time information and a time information setting part for setting the time information obtained by the time information obtaining part to each of the electronic devices determined as devices having the time setting function by the time setting function determining part. Tobias teaches, in a system for synchronizing audio and video information (col. 8, lines 62-65), using a time information obtaining part for obtaining time information (col. 6, line 66-col. 7, line 35 and col. 7, lines 51-64); and a time information setting part for setting the time information obtained by the time information obtaining part to each of the electronic devices determined as devices having a time setting function (col. 6, line 66-col. 7, line 12 and col. 7, lines 29-35) in order to synchronize the timing of devices in a flexible manner (col. 6, line 66-

Application/Control Number: 09/780,281

Art Unit: 2665

col. 7, line 21). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a time information obtaining part for obtaining time information and a time information setting part for setting the time information obtained by the time information obtaining part to each of the electronic devices determined as devices having the time setting function by the time setting function determining part in order to synchronize the timing of devices in a flexible manner.

Page 4

- 6. Regarding claim 2, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias discloses that the network system is composed of an IEEE 1394 serial bus (Shteyn: col. 1, lines 43-56).
- 7. Regarding claim 3, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias discloses that the control information obtaining part obtains the control information when a topology of the network changes (Shteyn: col. 2, line 66-col. 3, line 31 and col. 3, line 42-col. 4, line 25).
- 8. Regarding claim 4, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias discloses that the control information obtained by the control information obtaining part is composed of a control program for controlling the electronic devices and device attribute information of the electronic devices (Shteyn: col. 3, line 51-col. 4, line 4; col. 4, lines 26-42; and col. 4, line 53col. 5, line 1).
- 9. Regarding claim 5, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias suggests using a time setting permission determining part for determining whether the electronic devices permit an external setting operation of the time information (Applicant: pg. 1, line 14-pg. 2, line 4) where some devices do not require a time set since the devices already contain an internal clock; wherein the time information setting part sets the time information to the electronic devices

Art Unit: 2665

whose external setting operation has been permitted by the time setting permission determining part (Tobias: col. 6, line 66-col. 7, line 12 and col. 7, lines 29-35).

- 10. Regarding claim 6, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias discloses a time setting displaying part for displaying the electronic devices to which said time information setting part is capable of setting the time information (Shteyn: col. 2, lines 36-42 and Tobias: col. 19, lines 36-65).
- 11. Regarding claim 7, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias discloses a time setting selecting part for selecting an electronic device from the electronic devices displayed as devices that are capable of setting the time information by the time setting displaying part (Shteyn: col. 2, lines 36-42 and Tobias: col. 19, lines 36-65).
- 12. Regarding claim 8, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias discloses that the time information obtaining part obtains the time information from the outside of the network system (Tobias: col. 7, lines 16-20 and col. 7, lines 51-64).
- Regarding claim 9, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias discloses a time 13. compensating function determining part for determining whether the electronic devices have a time compensating function corresponding to time information obtained from the outside, the time compensating function allowing the electronic devices to compensate time thereof (Applicant: pg. 1, line 14-pg. 2, line 4); wherein the time information setting part sets the time information obtained by the time information obtaining part to the electronic devices determined as devices that do not have the time compensating function by the time compensating function determining part (Tobias: col. 6, line 66-col. 7, line 12 and col. 7, lines 29-35), where some devices do not require a time set since the devices already contain an internal clock.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,281

Art Unit: 2665

14. Regarding claim 10, Shteyn in view of Applicant in further view of Tobias suggests that the time information obtaining part obtains the time information from the electronic devices determined as devices that have the time compensating function by the time compensating function determining part (Applicant: pg. 1, line 14-pg. 2, line 4 and Tobias: col. 7, lines 16-20 and col. 7, lines 51-64) where Applicant discloses that the time compensating function has a time source and where Tobias discloses using a time source to set a time for a device such that it would have been obvious to use a time source in the system to set the time.

Page 6

### Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dockter et al. (USPN 5,420,801) see entire document which pertains to synchronization of multimedia streams.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Ryman whose telephone number is (571)272-3152. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:00-4:30 with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,281

Art Unit: 2665

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

DITZ

Daniel J. Ryman Examiner

Page 7

Art Unit 2665

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**